Modern IT leadership: Influencing the business **without losing focus**

Technology leadership today is about more than keeping systems running. This is how IT directors can communicate risk, influence decisions, and create space for strategic thinking without losing focus on the technology itself.

dewpoint.com

Dewpoint

There was a time
when solving the technical problem
WAS THE HARD PART.

You identified the issue, worked out the solution, built a plan, and got on with the work.

These days, IT directors find themselves facing a different challenge.

The technical problem still exists, of course. The infrastructure, security and projects still need attention and users still need support.

What's changed is the amount of time spent helping other people understand why those things are important.

You might spend weeks evaluating a security improvement only to discover that the real discussion revolves around budgets.

You might identify a growing operational risk and find yourself explaining it to people whose priorities are centered around sales, customer service, or expansion plans.

You might invest hours preparing information for a board meeting, knowing that you'll only have a few minutes to summarize something that has taken months to assess.

It's a familiar experience for many IT directors.

The further you progress in the role, the more often you find yourself operating between two worlds.

One is highly technical, built around systems, platforms, architecture, security, resilience, and delivery.

The other is focused on business outcomes. Revenue, growth, risk, efficiency, customer experience, staffing, compliance, and planning.

Neither is more important than the other but connecting them can become a challenge.

For some organizations, that's the responsibility of a CIO or senior leadership team.

For many others, particularly SMBs, that role sits with you. And this part of your role doesn't come with a manual.

As well as dealing with the technology, modern IT leadership involves helping the organization make better decisions about that technology too.

The moment technology stops **BEING THE MAIN DISCUSSION**

One of the more surprising discoveries for many IT directors is that technology often isn't the deciding factor in technology decisions.

Yes, that sounds ridiculous. Surely technology decisions should be based on technology?

Things are rarely that simple.

Imagine you're recommending a major infrastructure upgrade.

The technical case may be straightforward. The current environment is ageing, support costs are increasing and reliability concerns are beginning to emerge.

Future projects will be easier to deliver once the platform is modernized.

From your perspective the recommendation makes total sense.

But the discussion around the leadership table may look different.

Finance are thinking about cash flow… operations are thinking about disruption… sales are focused on hitting targets.

The Managing Director is balancing half a dozen strategic priorities at the same time.

While they're not exactly disagreeing with your technical assessment, they're viewing the situation through their own responsibilities.

And that's where technology discussions become unnecessarily difficult.

You might respond by providing more technical information. More detail, specifications, evidence.

But that often widens the gap instead of closing it.

The stakeholders are trying to answer a different question.

They want to know what happens if the organization proceeds, delays, invests elsewhere, or accepts the current situation for another year.

When you keep that in mind, conversations become more productive.

The focus moves away from features and specifications and towards outcomes:

What does success look like?
What becomes easier?
What becomes safer?
What becomes more reliable?
What becomes more expensive if nothing changes?

Different audiences require different perspectives. The skill lies in knowing which conversation you're having.

And it becomes particularly important when discussing risk.

You spend much of your career identifying, reducing, and managing risk. But risk can look very different depending on where you're sitting.

A vulnerability that immediately concerns you may not resonate with someone who spends their day focused on financial planning or operational delivery.

Most senior leaders aren't interested in vulnerabilities; they're interested in consequences.

Could operations be disrupted?
Could customers be affected?
Could regulatory obligations become more difficult to meet?
Could the business face unexpected costs?
Could growth plans be delayed?

Once the discussion moves into that territory, technology becomes easier to prioritize because people can see how it connects to objectives they already care about.

Why budget conversations start long **BEFORE THE BUDGET MEETING**

You still care about the technical details. You're the person who understands those details better than anyone else in the business.

But you can step outside of technology for a moment to look at the situation through somebody else's eyes.

It's important when budgets are involved.

The people around the leadership table already know technology is important. Their challenge is deciding where finite resources should go and which investments deserve priority.

When you look at it from that perspective, a technology budget is competing against everything else the business wants to achieve.

You may be looking at ageing infrastructure and seeing growing operational risk.

Somebody else may be looking at recruitment plans, office expansion, new product development, or a major customer opportunity.

That's why budget conversations often start early.

By the time a proposal reaches the point where approval is being discussed, people have usually developed their own understanding of the issue.

They've heard concerns raised in meetings. They've experienced frustrations themselves. They've spoken to colleagues. They've seen the impact of delays, workarounds, outages or limitations in their own area of the business.

Wider context can influence the discussion more than any proposal document.

Businesses that have regular conversations about where the business is heading and what technology will be needed to support it, make the best technology decisions.

Those conversations help people understand what's coming around the corner.

When leadership teams have insight into those discussions, decisions become easier. Nobody is being asked to absorb six months of context in a single meeting.

Of course, having that all requires time, and that's where many IT directors start to feel stretched.

The same person who is expected to contribute to business planning is often dealing with project delivery, supplier management, security reviews, compliance requirements, support escalations… and all the other responsibilities that arrive with the role.

For those working as a team of one, that balancing act can become especially difficult. It's hard to focus on the future when most of your day is consumed by the present.

That's where co-managed support can come in.

You may assume the primary benefit is additional technical resource. While that can certainly be valuable, what gets overlooked is the amount of leadership capacity it can create.

When somebody else is helping to carry part of the operational load, you gain the opportunity to spend more time on the things that influence the direction of the business.

You can prepare properly for stakeholder meetings, spend time understanding business priorities, and get ahead of upcoming projects rather than discovering them after decisions have already been made.

Helping leadership teams **SEE WHAT MATTERS**

Almost every business wants more visibility into technology.

But few senior leadership teams want to sit through a detailed review of patch compliance percentages, backup success rates, and endpoint configurations.

Leadership teams are usually trying to answer a different set of questions.

They want to understand whether risks are increasing or decreasing. They want to know whether projects are progressing as expected. They want visibility into anything that could affect business operations.

They want enough information to make decisions with confidence.

Reports that contain enormous amounts of data without really helping anyone understand what's happening aren't useful.

Most of us have probably produced reports like that at some point.

Technology environments generate huge amounts of information, and when you're immersed in that environment every day it can be difficult to judge which details are important to people outside IT.

What works better is stepping back and asking a simple question **BEFORE PREPARING ANY REPORT:**

If somebody only remembers three things from this discussion next week, what should those three things be?

It forces you to think about trends rather than individual data points.

It encourages discussion around decisions, priorities and risks instead of creating another document that's reviewed once and then forgotten.

It also makes reporting significantly easier for the people reading it.

The ability to simplify complexity without losing meaning becomes more important as you move further into leadership.

Managing
UPWARDS

The same applies when you're dealing with senior stakeholders.

Managing upwards is a skill nobody teaches you when you're building your career.

You learn it gradually through experience. Usually after a few difficult conversations and a handful of mistakes.

Part of that learning process involves recognizing that different stakeholders consume information in different ways.

Some people want detail before deciding. Others prefer a high-level summary and will ask questions if they need more information.

Some are comfortable discussing risk. Others focus almost entirely on outcomes and priorities.

Rather than changing those preferences, it's easier to understand them and adapt your communication accordingly.

A finance director may engage more readily with a discussion around operational costs than a conversation about technical architecture.

A managing director may focus on business continuity, customer impact and future growth.

And a compliance lead may be thinking about regulatory obligations.

The underlying issue can be the same, but the discussion sounds different depending on where somebody sits within the organization.

Trust builds when people know they'll receive a balanced view of a situation.

They don't expect perfection.

Technology projects encounter problems. Priorities change. Timelines move. Unexpected issues appear.

Senior leaders want to know where things stand, what decisions need to be made, and where support may be required.

They don’t enjoy being surprised by an issue that has been developing for months.

When communication is consistent and straightforward, those conversations become easier.

People develop confidence in your judgment. Discussions become more productive because less time is spent establishing credibility and more time is spent solving the problem in front of you.

Creating space **FOR STRATEGIC LEADERSHIP**

A decade ago, technology discussions sat largely within the IT department.

But today, technology influences almost every area of the business.

- Growth plans depend on systems being able to scale.
- Customer experience depends on technology working reliably.
- Security and compliance are board-level concerns.
- Data has become central to decision-making.
- Artificial intelligence is creating new opportunities and new questions in equal measure.

As technology becomes more closely linked to business outcomes, IT leadership becomes more prominent.

That creates opportunities, but it also creates demands.

You’re expected to contribute to discussions that extend well beyond infrastructure and support. To help people understand implications, identify risks, and evaluate opportunities.

And that's alongside the responsibility of keeping the technology running.

Which brings us back to where we started: Modern IT leadership involves operating between two worlds.

The technical side of the role remains important. The business side continues to grow around it.

Finding enough time for both is becoming one of the biggest challenges facing IT directors today.

That's why many are taking a fresh look at how their IT function is structured.

In most cases, the issue is capacity.

There are only so many hours available, and the leadership responsibilities attached to the role continue to expand.

A well-structured co-managed relationship can help create room for those responsibilities.

It gives you access to additional expertise, additional resource and additional support while allowing you to remain firmly in control of the technology strategy.

For many IT directors, that's proving to be a practical way of ensuring that operational demands don't crowd out the leadership work that has become such an important part of the role.

Looking beyond THE NEXT TICKET

Technology will continue to evolve, and business expectations will evolve with it.

If you navigate that balancing act successfully, technology will become an enabler of growth, resilience, and better decision-making.

Having the right support around you can make a big difference.

Whether that's additional expertise, extra capacity, or trusted resource to help carry some of the operational workload, it can create the space you need to focus on the leadership side of the role without losing sight of the technology.

If you'd like to explore how a co-managed approach could support you, ***get in touch.***

dewpoint.com

Dewpoint